Appl. No. 10/802,115 Amendment dated June 26, 2009 Reply to Office Action of April 22, 2009

REMARKS

The Examiner previously re-numbered Claims 24 to 35, as filed, as Claims 25 to 36 under 37 1.126 and all dependencies were also previously changed.

Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 23, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, as previously renumbered by the Examiner, were pending in the Application at the time of examination.

The Examiner objected to the Specification for informalities.

The Examiner rejected Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, but indicated Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, and 38 would be allowable if re-written to overcome the rejection.

The Examiner allowed Claims 15, 17, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39 and 40.

Applicant has amended the disclosure at page 6, lines 7 to 9. Applicant has amended Claims 5, 7 and 23. Consequently, Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 23, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 remain in the Application.

OBJECTION TO THE SPECIFICATION

The Examiner objected to the Specification for informalities.

As shown above, Applicant has amended the Specification. In light of the amendment to the Specification shown above, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraw the objection to the disclosure.

Appl. No. 10/802,115 Amendment dated June 26, 2009 Reply to Office Action of April 22, 2009

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, AND 38 UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

The Examiner rejected Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, but indicated Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, and 38 would be allowable if re-written to overcome the rejection.

Applicant has amended Claims 5, 7, and 23. In particular, Claims 5 and 7 have been amended to recite "means for adjustably pivoting the dual-laser alignment housing with respect to the laser housing" as opposed to "adjustment means" and Claim 23 has been amended to delete the redundant "a laser only".

In light of the amendments to Claims 5, 7, and 23, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraw the rejection of Claims 5, 7, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and allow Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, and 38 to issue.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner previously allowed Claims 15, 17, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39 and 40 and indicated Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 23, 37, and 38 would be allowable if amended as shown above. Consequently, in light of the amendments to Claims 5, 7, and 23, and the Examiner's previous comments, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of remaining Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 23, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, as amended.

Appl. No. 10/802,115 Amendment dated June 26, 2009 Reply to Office Action of April 22, 2009

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of all pending Claims 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 23, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, as amended.

If the Examiner has any questions relating to the above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned Attorney for Applicant.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office via the Office's EFS-Web System on the date shown below.

Philip McKay

une 26, 2009 Attorne

Date of Signature

Artorney for Applicant(s) Reg. No. 38,966

Tel.: (831) 655-0880 Ext. 14

Respectfully submitted,